

THIS REPORT HAS BEEN DELIMITED
AND CLEARED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE
UNDER DOD DIRECTIVE 5200.20 AND
NO RESTRICTIONS ARE IMPOSED UPON
ITS USE AND DISCLOSURE.

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE;
DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED.

Technical Information Agency

you are requested to return this copy WHEN IT HAS SERVED
y be made available to other requesters. Your cooperation

0426

MENT OR OTHER DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS OR OTHER DATA
POSE OTHER THAN IN CONNECTION WITH A DEFINITELY RELATED
MENT OPERATION, THE U. S. GOVERNMENT THEREBY INCURS
ANY OBLIGATION WHATSOEVER; AND THE FACT THAT THE
FORMULATED, FURNISHED, OR IN ANY WAY SUPPLIED THE
CATIONS, OR OTHER DATA IS NOT TO BE REGARDED BY
WISE AS IN ANY MANNER LICENSING THE HOLDER OR ANY OTHER
ON, OR CONVEYING ANY RIGHTS OR PERMISSION TO MANUFACTURE,
NTED INVENTION THAT MAY IN ANY WAY BE RELATED THERETO.

Reproduced by
MENT SERVICE CENTER
OTT BUILDING, DAYTON, 2, OHIO

CLASSIFIED

THE DISTRIBUTION OF THE AVERAGE-RANGE FOR SUBGROUPS OF FIVE

BY

GEORGE J. RESNIKOFF

TECHNICAL REPORT NO. 15

JULY 26, 1954

PREPARED UNDER CONTRACT N6onr 25126

(NR-042-002)

FOR

OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH

GERALD J. LIEBERMAN, DIRECTOR

APPLIED MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS LABORATORY

STANFORD UNIVERSITY

STANFORD, CALIFORNIA

THE DISTRIBUTION OF THE AVERAGE-RANGE FOR SUBGROUPS OF FIVE

by

George J. Rasnikoff

1. Introduction.

The range in random samples from a normal population has come into widespread use as an estimator of the population standard deviation σ , and hence as a substitute for the sample standard deviation in several common statistical tests. However, the efficiency of the range as an estimator of σ decreases as the sample size N increases. For this reason it is often preferable to randomly divide the sample into a number of equal-sized subgroups and to find the mean of the several group ranges. This statistic, the sample average-range, will be denoted by $\bar{R}_{m,n}$, the subscript m referring to the number of subgroups and the subscript n to the subgroup size. The total sample size is $N = nm$.

The question as to which subdivision will be best from the point of view of smallest variance has been studied by Pearson [1] and Grubbs and Weaver [2]. It has been found that subgroups of seven or eight are best. However, in this report only sample sizes which are multiples of five are considered, i.e., samples of total size $N = 5m$. The average-range statistic will then be denoted by $\bar{R}_{m,5}$. One of the reasons for this choice of subgroup size is the fact that sample sizes which are multiples of five are in common use in acceptance sampling by variables, and the construction of the principal tables in this report was undertaken for the purpose of facilitating the devising of variables sampling plans based on the average-range. Reference to [2] bears out that very little efficiency

is sacrificed by using subgroups of five observations instead of seven or eight.

The exact distribution of the average-range cannot in general be obtained in simple form. For this reason several approximations have been proposed. In particular, Patnaik [3] has suggested an approximation to the distribution of $\bar{R}_{m,n}$, based on equating its first two moments to the first two moments of a chi-variate. Because this approximation may be used in the construction of variables sampling plans it will be examined for accuracy by comparison with the exact distribution of the average-range, for which tables are given in this report. Also tabled herein are selected percentage points of the average-range statistic.

The ratio of a normal variate to range has been suggested as an analogue to Student's t-statistic, with similar uses in significance tests for population means, by Daly [4]. It is also pointed out by Patnaik that an approximation to the distribution of this ratio, where the denominator is the average-range instead of only a single range, is easily derived from his chi-approximation. This statistic was earlier considered by Lord [5] who studied it numerically. In this paper a table of exact percentage points are given for this ratio of a normal variate to the average range, when the range subgroups consist of five observations each.

2. The Distribution of the Average-Range, $\bar{R}_{m,5}/\sigma$.

Let x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n be a random sample of n observations, arranged in ascending order of magnitude, drawn from a normal population with arbitrary mean and variance σ^2 . By the range in this sample is meant the

difference between the largest and the smallest observations. If there are m such samples with n observations in each, the mean of the m ranges will be called the sample average-range or simply average-range, and will be denoted by $\bar{R}_{m,n}$. In particular, for $n=5$ the exact distributions of $\bar{R}_{m,5}/\sigma$ are given in Table I, for $m=1,2,\dots,10$.

The entries in Table I were computed by successive convolutions on the numerical probability density function of a single range of five observations. This latter distribution had previously been obtained to eight places of accuracy by numerical integration. The results of these convolutions are the functions f_m of Table I. These were then summed to obtain the probability integrals F_m . The original eight decimal places were retained throughout the computations, rounding to the four places shown being done only for the final tabulation into Table I.

The accuracy of these tables was checked in the following ways, in addition to differencing: the means and variances were computed for each value of m by the formulas $\mu_m = \sum_1 x_1 f_m(x_1)$ and $\sigma_m^2 = \sum_1 x_1^2 f_m(x_1) - \mu_m^2$, i.e., by numerical integration using the eight-decimal values of the probability densities f_m . These were found to agree to the known true values of the means and variances to at least five decimal places in each case.

Table II presents percentage points of the distribution of $\bar{R}_{m,5}/\sigma$. These were computed by inverse interpolation on the values of the probability integrals F_m . These percentage points may be used for significance tests for σ in the same ways in which tables of the percentage points of a chi-variate are used for tests for σ . Since Table II

TABLE 1

TABLES OF THE DISTRIBUTION OF THE AVERAGE RANGE $\bar{R}_{5,m}$ OF SUBGROUPS OF FIVE ELEMENTS FROM A NORMAL POPULATION, IN TERMS OF THE POPULATION STANDARD DEVIATION.^{1/}

R/σ	f_1	F_1	f_2	F_2	f_3	F_3	f_4	F_4	f_5	F_5	R/σ
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
.05	0	↓	0	↓	0	↓	0	↓	0	↓	.05
.1	.0002	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	.1
.15	.0008	.0000	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	.15
.20	.0018	.0001	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	.20
.25	.0035	.0002	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	.25
.30	.0059	.0004	.0000	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	.30
.35	.0093	.0008	.0001	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	.35
.40	.0137	.0014	.0001	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	.40
.45	.0192	.0022	.0003	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	.45
.50	.0260	.0033	.0006	.0000	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	.50
.55	.0339	.0048	.0011	.0001	.0000	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	.55
.60	.0432	.0068	.0020	.0002	.0001	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	.60
.65	.0537	.0092	.0033	.0003	.0002	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	.65
.70	.0655	.0121	.0053	.0005	.0004	.0000	.0000	↓	↓	↓	.70
.75	.0785	.0157	.0081	.0008	.0007	.0001	.0001	↓	↓	↓	.75
.80	.0928	.0200	.0120	.0013	.0013	.0001	.0001	↓	↓	↓	.80
.85	.1081	.0250	.0172	.0021	.0024	.0002	.0003	↓	.0000	↓	.85
.90	.1245	.0309	.0241	.0031	.0040	.0004	.0006	.0000	.0001	↓	.90
.95	.1418	.0375	.0327	.0045	.0065	.0006	.0012	.0001	.0002	↓	.95
1.00	.1599	.0450	.0435	.0064	.0102	.0010	.0022	.0002	.0005	.0000	1.00
1.05	.1787	.0535	.0567	.0089	.0154	.0017	.0039	.0003	.0010	.0001	1.05
1.10	.1979	.0629	.0724	.0121	.0227	.0026	.0067	.0006	.0019	.0001	1.10
1.15	.2175	.0733	.0908	.0162	.0324	.0040	.0109	.0010	.0035	.0003	1.15
1.20	.2373	.0847	.1119	.0212	.0452	.0059	.0172	.0017	.0063	.0005	1.20
1.25	.2572	.0970	.1358	.0274	.0614	.0086	.0261	.0028	.0108	.0009	1.25
1.30	.2769	.1104	.1625	.0349	.0817	.0122	.0386	.0044	.0176	.0017	1.30
1.35	.2962	.1247	.1917	.0437	.1062	.0169	.0553	.0068	.0279	.0028	1.35
1.40	.3151	.1400	.2232	.0541	.1353	.0229	.0772	.0101	.0426	.0046	1.40
1.45	.3334	.1562	.2566	.0661	.1692	.0305	.1048	.0146	.0629	.0072	1.45
1.50	.3509	.1733	.2917	.0798	.2076	.0399	.1390	.0207	.0900	.0110	1.50
1.55	.3675	.1913	.3279	.0953	.2505	.0514	.1800	.0287	.1251	.0164	1.55
1.60	.3830	.2101	.3646	.1126	.2972	.0651	.2279	.0389	.1690	.0237	1.60
1.65	.3974	.2296	.4014	.1317	.3472	.0812	.2824	.0517	.2222	.0335	1.65
1.70	.4105	.2498	.4376	.1527	.3995	.0999	.3429	.0673	.2848	.0462	1.70

^{1/} The random variable $\bar{R}_{5,m}$ is the average of the m ranges of m independent samples of size 5 drawn from a normal population with arbitrary mean and variance σ^2 . The distribution of \bar{R} is independent of μ . The tables given above, labeled f_m and F_m , are the probability densities and probability integrals, respectively, for $m = 1, 2, \dots, 10$, of R/σ .

For $m > 10$ the distribution is nearly normal with mean 2.32593 and variance $\frac{.74664}{m}$.

$\frac{m}{R/\sigma}$	f_6	F_6	f_7	F_7	f_8	F_8	f_9	F_9	f_{10}	F_{10}	$\frac{m}{R/\sigma}$
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
.05											.05
.10											.10
.15											.15
.20											.20
.25											.25
.30											.30
.35											.35
.40											.40
.45											.45
.50											.50
.55											.55
.60											.60
.65											.65
.70											.70
.75											.75
.80											.80
.85											.85
.90											.90
.95	.0000										.95
1.00	.0001		.0000								1.00
1.05	.0002		.0001								1.05
1.10	.0005	.0000	.0001		.0000						1.10
1.15	.0011	.0001	.0004		.0001						1.15
1.20	.0023	.0002	.0008	.0000	.0003		.0001		.0000		1.20
1.25	.0043	.0003	.0017	.0001	.0007	.0000	.0003		.0001		1.25
1.30	.0079	.0006	.0035	.0002	.0015	.0001	.0007	.0000	.0003		1.30
1.35	.0138	.0012	.0067	.0005	.0032	.0002	.0015	.0001	.0007	.0000	1.35
1.40	.0230	.0021	.0122	.0010	.0064	.0005	.0034	.0002	.0017	.0001	1.40
1.45	.0369	.0036	.0214	.0018	.0122	.0009	.0069	.0005	.0039	.0002	1.45
1.50	.0571	.0059	.0357	.0032	.0221	.0018	.0135	.0010	.0082	.0005	1.50
1.55	.0851	.0095	.0571	.0056	.0379	.0033	.0250	.0019	.0163	.0012	1.55
1.60	.1227	.0147	.0878	.0092	.0622	.0058	.0437	.0037	.0305	.0023	1.60
1.65	.1712	.0220	.1300	.0146	.0977	.0098	.0729	.0066	.0540	.0044	1.65
1.70	.2316	.0321	.1857	.0225	.1473	.0159	.1159	.0113	.0907	.0081	1.70
1.75	.3041	.0455	.2560	.0336	.2132	.0249	.1762	.0186	.1447	.0139	1.75
1.80	.3880	.0628	.3413	.0485	.2970	.0377	.2564	.0294	.2201	.0231	1.80
1.85	.4815	.0845	.4405	.0680	.3987	.0551	.3580	.0448	.3196	.0366	1.85
1.90	.5821	.1111	.5512	.0928	.5166	.0780	.4803	.0657	.4437	.0556	1.90
1.95	.6859	.1428	.6695	.1233	.6467	.1070	.6198	.0932	.5902	.0815	1.95
2.00	.7885	.1797	.7900	.1598	.7833	.1428	.7706	.1280	.7531	.1151	2.00
2.05	.8851	.2215	.9066	.2022	.9189	.1853	.9240	.1704	.9232	.1570	2.05
2.10	.9708	.2679	1.0125	.2502	1.0450	.2344	1.0699	.2202	1.0885	.2073	2.10
2.15	1.0414	.3182	1.1017	.3031	1.1533	.2894	1.1977	.2769	1.2362	.2654	2.15
2.20	1.0931	.3716	1.1686	.3598	1.2363	.3491	1.2976	.3393	1.3535	.3301	2.20

$\frac{m}{R/\sigma}$	f_1	F_1	f_2	F_2	f_3	F_3	f_4	F_4	f_5	F_5	$\frac{m}{R/\sigma}$
1.75	.4223	.2706	.4726	.1755	.4530	.1212	.4084	.0861	.3561	.0622	1.75
1.80	.4326	.2920	.5058	.1999	.5066	.1452	.4772	.1082	.4348	.0820	1.80
1.85	.4415	.3138	.5367	.2260	.5591	.1718	.5476	.1338	.5189	.1058	1.85
1.90	.4488	.3361	.5648	.2536	.6090	.2010	.6176	.1630	.6059	.1339	1.90
1.95	.4546	.3587	.5896	.2824	.6553	.2326	.6850	.1955	.6926	.1664	1.95
2.00	.4589	.3816	.6107	.3124	.6966	.2664	.7474	.2313	.7757	.2031	2.00
2.05	.4616	.4046	.6278	.3434	.7320	.3021	.8029	.2701	.8518	.2438	2.05
2.10	.4627	.4277	.6407	.3751	.7607	.3395	.8474	.3114	.9176	.2830	2.10
2.15	.4624	.4508	.6493	.4074	.7818	.3780	.8856	.3548	.9704	.3352	2.15
2.20	.4606	.4739	.6534	.4400	.7951	.4174	.9102	.3997	1.0079	.3847	2.20
2.25	.4574	.4969	.6532	.4727	.8004	.4573	.9225	.4455	1.0287	.4356	2.25
2.30	.4528	.5196	.6488	.5052	.7976	.4973	.9226	.4916	1.0323	.4871	2.30
2.35	.4470	.5421	.6403	.5375	.7872	.5369	.9105	.5375	1.0189	.5384	2.35
2.40	.4400	.5643	.6281	.5692	.7696	.5758	.8872	.5824	.9895	.5886	2.40
2.45	.4318	.5861	.6123	.6002	.7454	.6137	.8538	.6259	.9461	.6370	2.45
2.50	.4227	.6075	.5935	.6304	.7156	.6502	.8117	.6676	.8909	.6829	2.50
2.55	.4126	.6283	.5719	.6595	.6808	.6851	.7626	.7069	.8264	.7259	2.55
2.60	.4017	.6487	.5481	.6875	.6422	.7182	.7082	.7437	.7555	.7654	2.60
2.65	.3901	.6685	.5223	.7143	.6007	.7493	.6502	.7777	.6809	.8013	2.65
2.70	.3779	.6877	.4951	.7397	.5573	.7782	.5904	.8087	.6051	.8335	2.70
2.75	.3651	.7063	.4668	.7638	.5129	.8050	.5303	.8367	.5305	.8619	2.75
2.80	.3520	.7242	.4379	.7864	.4682	.8295	.4712	.8617	.4588	.8866	2.80
2.85	.3384	.7415	.4086	.8076	.4242	.8518	.4144	.8839	.3917	.9079	2.85
2.90	.3247	.7580	.3795	.8273	.3814	.8720	.3607	.9032	.3301	.9259	2.90
2.95	.3107	.7739	.3507	.8455	.3403	.8900	.3108	.9200	.2747	.9410	2.95
3.00	.2967	.7891	.3225	.8623	.3014	.9061	.2652	.9344	.2258	.9536	3.00
3.05	.2827	.8036	.2952	.8778	.2651	.9202	.2241	.9467	.1833	.9638	3.05
3.10	.2687	.8174	.2689	.8919	.2315	.9326	.1876	.9570	.1471	.9720	3.10
3.15	.2549	.8305	.2439	.9047	.2007	.9434	.1555	.9655	.1166	.9786	3.15
3.20	.2413	.8429	.2202	.9163	.1729	.9528	.1278	.9726	.0914	.9838	3.20
3.25	.2279	.8546	.1979	.9267	.1479	.9608	.1040	.9784	.0708	.9879	3.25
3.30	.2148	.8657	.1771	.9361	.1257	.9676	.0839	.9831	.0543	.9910	3.30
3.35	.2020	.8761	.1578	.9445	.1061	.9734	.0671	.9869	.0411	.9934	3.35
3.40	.1896	.8859	.1400	.9519	.0890	.9783	.0532	.9899	.0308	.9952	3.40
3.45	.1777	.8951	.1237	.9585	.0741	.9824	.0418	.9923	.0229	.9965	3.45
3.50	.1661	.9037	.1088	.9643	.0614	.9858	.0326	.9941	.0168	.9975	3.50
3.55	.1550	.9117	.0954	.9694	.0505	.9886	.0252	.9956	.0122	.9983	3.55
3.60	.1444	.9192	.0832	.9739	.0413	.9909	.0193	.9967	.0087	.9988	3.60
3.65	.1342	.9261	.0724	.9778	.0336	.9927	.0147	.9975	.0062	.9992	3.65
3.70	.1245	.9326	.0627	.9811	.0272	.9943	.0111	.9982	.0044	.9994	3.70
3.75	.1153	.9386	.0541	.9840	.0218	.9955	.0083	.9987	.0031	.9996	3.75
3.80	.1066	.9441	.0464	.9865	.0174	.9965	.0062	.9990	.0021	.9997	3.80
3.85	.0983	.9493	.0398	.9887	.0139	.9973	.0045	.9993	.0014	.9998	3.85
3.90	.0906	.9540	.0339	.9905	.0109	.9979	.0033	.9995	.0010	.9999	3.90
3.95	.0832	.9583	.0288	.9921	.0086	.9984	.0024	.9996	.0007	.9999	3.95

[illegible]

gives the percentage points \bar{R}_p of $\bar{R}_{m,5}/\sigma$ then $\Pr\{\bar{R}_{m,5} \geq \bar{R}_{p\sigma}\} = P$. For example, $\Pr\{\bar{R}_{4,5} \geq 1.528\sigma\} = .975$ for four groups of five, i.e., for samples of size twenty.

Confidence limits for σ can be obtained from Table II since

$$\Pr\left\{\frac{\bar{R}_{m,5}}{\bar{R}_{p_2}} \leq \sigma \leq \frac{\bar{R}_{m,5}}{\bar{R}_{p_1}}\right\} = P_2 - P_1. \text{ As an example, for } m=5, \text{ Table II gives}$$

$$\Pr\left\{\frac{\bar{R}_{5,5}}{3.394} \leq \sigma \leq \frac{\bar{R}_{5,5}}{1.410}\right\} = .995 - .005 = .99.$$

For tests of hypotheses concerning σ , suppose a preassigned value is σ_0 and the alternatives are $\sigma > \sigma_0$, we find as a critical region at the α level of significance $\Pr\{\bar{R}_{m,5} > \sigma_0 \bar{R}_\alpha | \sigma = \sigma_0\} = \Pr\{\bar{R}_{m,5}/\sigma_0 > \bar{R}_\alpha\} = \alpha$. For example, if $m=2$ and $\alpha = .05$ a critical region would be $\bar{R}_{2,5} > 3.387\sigma_0$, i.e., one would reject the hypothesis that $\sigma = \sigma_0$ at the .05 significance level if the observed value of the average-range exceeded $3.387\sigma_0$.

3. The Average-Range Analogue to the t-Test.

Let z be distributed normally with mean zero and standard deviation one, and let $\bar{R}_{m,n}$ be an independent average-range statistic. Consider the statistic $t_{m,n} = \frac{z}{\bar{R}_{mn}}$. The statistic $d_n t_{m,n}^{1/}$ was studied by Lord [5] who gave some percentage points and applications. Table III contained herein gives all the commonly used percentage points for $t_{m,5}$ for $m=2,3,\dots,10$. The values given in Table III were obtained by numerical quadrature using the normal probability integral and the probability densities of Table I.

Since the average-range of a sample from a normal population is independent of the mean of that sample the statistic $\frac{\sqrt{m,n}(\bar{x} - \mu)}{\bar{R}_{m,n}}$ is

$\frac{1}{d_n}$ is the expected value of R_n/σ where R_n is a single range of size n .

TABLE II

Percentage Points of the Average-Range Statistic, $\bar{R}_{m,5}/\sigma$

$$P = \Pr \left\{ \bar{R}_{m,5}/\sigma \geq \bar{R}_0 \right\}$$

$\frac{P}{m}$.005	.010	.025	.050	.100	.250	.750	.900	.950	.975	.99	.995
\bar{R}_0												
2	4.073	3.885	3.614	3.387	3.131	2.721	1.893	1.564	1.381	1.231	1.069	.965
3	3.729	3.580	3.365	3.184	2.981	2.651	1.976	1.700	1.544	1.415	1.272	1.178
4	3.529	3.402	3.219	3.065	2.891	2.609	2.025	1.782	1.644	1.528	1.399	1.314
5	3.394	3.282	3.121	2.985	2.831	2.580	2.057	1.839	1.713	1.607	1.489	1.410
6	3.296	3.195	3.049	2.926	2.786	2.558	2.081	1.880	1.765	1.666	1.556	1.483
7	3.220	3.128	2.994	2.880	2.751	2.542	2.100	1.913	1.804	1.713	1.609	1.540
8	3.159	3.074	2.949	2.844	2.724	2.528	2.115	1.939	1.837	1.750	1.652	1.587
9	3.110	3.029	2.913	2.813	2.701	2.517	2.127	1.961	1.864	1.782	1.689	1.626
10	3.068	2.993	2.881	2.788	2.681	2.507	2.137	1.979	1.887	1.808	1.720	1.660

distributed as the random variable for which percentage points are given in Table III. Thus we can test for the significance of the difference between the mean \bar{x} of the sample and a preassigned value μ , in exactly the same way that $\frac{\sqrt{N}(\bar{x} - \mu)}{s}$ is used, where s is the sample standard deviation $\sqrt{\frac{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2}{n-1}}$.

Suppose a test hypothesis is that $\mu = \mu_0$, and the alternative hypothesis is that $\mu > \mu_0$. A critical region at the α level of significance becomes $\frac{\sqrt{5m}(\bar{x} - \mu_0)}{\bar{R}_{m,5}} > t_\alpha$ where t_α is the α percentage point in the row labeled m in Table III. In particular, if $m=4$, $\alpha = .01$, one would reject the hypothesis that $\mu = \mu_0$ whenever

$$\frac{\sqrt{20}(\bar{x} - \mu_0)}{\bar{R}_{4,5}} > 1.101$$

Table III may be used in any other test of significance for which one would use a table of the percentage points of Student's t-statistic, such as confidence intervals for the population mean, comparison of two means, and so on.

4. The χ -Approximation to the Distribution of $\bar{R}_{m,n}$.

It has been proposed by Patnaik [3] that $\bar{R}_{m,n}/\sigma$ is approximately distributed as $c\chi_\nu/\sqrt{\nu}$ where χ_ν is a chi-variate with ν degrees of freedom, and both ν and the scale factor c depend on m and n . These constants $c_{m,n}$ and $\nu_{m,n}$ are obtained by equating the first two moments

of $\bar{R}_{m,n}/\sigma$ to the first two moments of $\frac{s}{\sigma} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2}{(n-1)\sigma^2}}$. The usefulness

of this approximation in variables sampling inspection stems from the fact

TABLE III

Percentage Points of the Average-Range Analogue of Student's-t^{1/}

$$F = \Pr \{ t_{m,5} \leq t_c \}$$

$\begin{array}{c} P \\ m \end{array}$.75	.90	.95	.975	.99	.995
t_o						
2	.295	.584	.780	.969	1.221	1.427
3	.293	.573	.754	.923	1.139	1.299
4	.292	.567	.742	.902	1.101	1.246
5	.292	.564	.735	.890	1.080	1.217
6	.292	.562	.730	.881	1.066	1.198
7	.291	.560	.727	.876	1.056	1.184
8	.291	.559	.724	.871	1.049	1.174
9	.291	.558	.722	.866	1.043	1.166
10	.291	.557	.721	.866	1.039	1.160

^{1/} The statistic $t_{m,n} = \frac{z}{\bar{R}_{m,n}}$ is the ratio of a standardized normal variate

to an independent average-range statistic from a normal population with arbitrary mean and variance equal to one. The distribution is symmetric around zero so $t_o(1-P) = -t_o(P)$. For m large and any $n \geq 2$ the Cornish-Fisher expansion for $c_{mn}t$ where t is Student's-t with degrees of freedom replaced by ν_{mn} will provide a highly accurate method for obtaining these percentage points. The constants c_{mn} and ν_{mn} can be obtained from [3].

that since $\bar{R}_{m,n}$ and \bar{x} are independent it follows that $\frac{\sqrt{mn}c_{mn}(U-\bar{x})}{\bar{R}_{m,n}}$ is distributed as non-central t, with parameters ν and $\sqrt{mn}U$. In a variables sampling plan the acceptance criterion is commonly written $\bar{x} + k\bar{R} \leq U$ where U is an upper limit defining quality. This can be written $\frac{U-\bar{x}}{\bar{R}} \geq k$, and, multiplying by the appropriate constants, as $\sqrt{mn}c_{mn} \frac{U-\bar{x}}{\bar{R}} \geq \sqrt{mn}c_{mn}k$. Therefore the probability that a lot with fraction defective p will be accepted by the plan is approximately equal to the probability that a non-central t variate (with degrees of freedom $\nu_{m,n}$ and eccentricity $\sqrt{mn}K_p$) will exceed $\sqrt{mn}c_{mn}k = k'$.

Explicit instructions for the use of the non-central t tables [6] in variables sampling are given by Wallis in [7] and will not be repeated here. It is to be noted that the only essential modification to those instructions is the necessity of replacing the degrees of freedom f by the appropriate $\nu_{m,n}$. Values of $\nu_{m,n}$ can be obtained from Patnaik's original paper [3].

The author has compared the accuracy of the chi-approximation to $\bar{R}_{m,5}/\sigma$ with the values of Table I, and found it to be excellent for subgroups of five even for a small number of subgroups. A summary of this comparison is given in Table IV.

As pointed out by Patnaik, as a consequence of the chi-approximation, the statistic $\frac{c_{m,n}\sqrt{mn}(\bar{x}-\mu)}{\bar{R}}$ is distributed approximately as Student's t

with $\nu_{m,n}$ degrees of freedom, and the statistic $c_{m,n}\sqrt{mn} \cdot \frac{(\frac{\bar{x}-\mu}{\sigma}) + (\frac{\mu-\mu_0}{\sigma})}{\frac{\bar{R}}{\sigma}}$

is distributed approximately as non-central t, with $\nu_{m,n}$ degrees of

$\frac{1}{\sqrt{K_p}}$ is the standardized normal deviate exceeded with probability p , i.e.,

$$\int_{K_p}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-t^2/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} dt = p.$$

TABLE IV

$m = 2$

$\bar{R}_{2,5}/\sigma$	4.073	2.721	1.893	.965
Exact prob.	.995	.750	.250	.005
χ -approximation	.996	.748	.249	.006

$m = 5$

$\bar{R}_{5,5}/\sigma$	3.394	2.580	2.057	1.410
Exact prob.	.995	.750	.250	.005
χ -approximation	.996	.749	.249	.005

$m = 8$

$\bar{R}_{8,5}/\sigma$	3.159	2.528	2.115	1.587
Exact prob.	.995	.750	.250	.005
χ -approximation	.995	.749	.249	.005

freedom and eccentricity $\frac{\sqrt{mn}(\mu - \mu_0)}{\sigma}$. The accuracy of these two approximations was also checked by numerical methods involving the exact values in Table I, and also found to be very good for the cases considered. For the purposes of computing operating characteristic curves for variables sampling plans or for finding the power of the t-test analogue of Section 2, the approximation gave results which were superior to those obtained by assuming that $\bar{x} + k\bar{R}_{m,5}$ is approximately normally distributed.

Since $\bar{R}_{m,n}$ is an average of identically distributed variables with finite first and second moments, for m large the average-range statistic is very nearly normal. For this reason it was not considered necessary to compute the distribution for values of m greater than ten, nor would the χ -approximation be an advantage over the normal for these larger values of m . For reference purposes it is noted that accurate values of the expected values and variances of the average-range statistic $\bar{R}_{m,5}/\sigma$ are:

$$E\left(\frac{\bar{R}_{m,5}}{\sigma}\right) = 2.32592895$$

$$\text{Var}\left(\frac{\bar{R}_{m,5}}{\sigma}\right) = \frac{.74663760}{m}$$

Acknowledgment.

The author wishes to thank Mrs. Helen Lieberman for supervising the computation of the values given in Tables II and III.

References

- [1] E. S. Pearson, "The percentage limits for the distribution of range in samples from a normal population," Biometrika, Vol. 24 (1932), p. 404.
- [2] F. E. Grubbs and L. C. Weaver, "The best unbiased estimate of population standard deviation based on group ranges," Jour. Amer. Stat. Assn., Vol. 42 (1947), p. 224.
- [3] P. B. Patnaik, "The use of mean range in statistical tests," Biometrika, Vol. 37 (1950), p. 78.
- [4] J. F. Daly, "On the use of the sample range in an analogue of Student's t-test," Annals of Math. Stat., Vol. 17 (1946), p. 71.
- [5] E. Lord, "The use of range in place of the standard deviation in the t-test," Biometrika, Vol. 34 (1947), p. 41.
- [6] N. L. Johnson and B. L. Welch, "Applications of the non-central t-distribution," Biometrika, Vol. 31 (1940), p. 362.
- [7] W. A. Wallis, "Use of variables in acceptance inspection for percent defective," Selected Techniques of Statistical Analysis, McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1947, pp. 71-82.

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
Technical Reports Distribution List
Contract N6onr 25126

ASTIA, Western Regional Office 3504 Hollywood Blvd. Los Angeles 28, California	1	Planning Research Division Deputy Chief of Staff Comptroller, U. S. Air Force The Pentagon Washington 25, D. C.	1
Armed Services Technical Infor- mation Agency Documents Service Center Knott Building Dayton 2, Ohio	5	Chief of Naval Research Office of Naval Research Washington 25, D. C. Attn: Code 433 (Statistics Branch)	2
Asst. Chief of Staff, G-4 United States Army Procurement Division Standards Branch Washington 25, D. C.	15	Chief of Ordnance United States Army Research and Development Division Washington 25, D. C. Attn: Brig. General L. E. Simon Mr. Charles Bicking	1
Chief, Bureau of Aeronautics Department of the Navy Washington 25, D. C.	1	Commander U. S. Naval Ordnance Test Station Inyokern, China Lake, Calif.	1
Chief, Bureau of Ordnance QCC Department of the Navy Quality Control Division Washington 25, D. C.	1	Commanding General Army Chemical Center Quality Assurance Branch Edgewood, Maryland	2
Chief, Bureau of Ordnance QCD Department of the Navy Quality Control Division Washington 25, D. C.	1	Commanding Officer Ballistics Research Lab. U. S. Proving Grounds Aberdeen, Maryland Attn: Mr. R. H. Kent	2
Chief, Bureau of Ordnance QCS Department of the Navy Quality Control Division Washington 25, D. C.	2	Commanding Officer Office of Naval Research Branch Office 1000 Geary Street San Francisco 9, California Attn: Dr. J. D. Wilkes	1 1
Chief, Bureau of Ships Asst. Chief for Research and Development Department of the Navy Washington 25, D. C.	2	Commanding Officer Office of Naval Research Branch Office Navy No. 100 Fleet Post Office New York, N. Y.	2
Chief, Statistical Engineering Laboratory National Bureau of Standards Washington 25, D. C.	1	Director of Research Operations Research Office U. S. Army Fort McNair Washington 25, D. C.	1
Chief of Naval Research Office of Naval Research Washington 25, D. C. Attn: Code 432 (Mathematics Branch)	1		

Inspection Division Army Quartermaster Corps 111 East 16th St. New York, N. Y. Attn: Mr. David Schwartz	1	Commanding General Air Materiel Command Quality Control Division MCPLXP Wright-Patterson Air Force Base Dayton, Ohio	15
Director, Naval Research Lab. Washington 25, D. C. Attn: Technical Information Officer	6	Director of Research & Development AFDRD-RE-3 Washington 25, D. C.	1
Commanding Officer Signal Corps Supply Agency 225 South 18th St. Philadelphia 3, Pa. Attn: Chief, Quality Control Branch, SIGSU-H6d Inspection Engineering Division	2	Chief, Statistical Engineering Laboratory National Bureau of Standards Washington 25, D. C.	1
Commanding General New York Quartermaster Procurement Agency Inspection Division 111 East 16th St. New York, N. Y.	2	Chief of Naval Materiel Code M553 Department of the Navy Washington 25, D. C.	1
Dr. Clifford J. Maloney Chief, Statistics Branch Chemical Corps Biological Labs. Physical Sciences Division Camp Detrick, Maryland	1	Director Office of Naval Research Branch Office 844 North Rush St. Chicago 11, Illinois	1
Commanding Officer 9926 Technical Service Unit Armed Services Medical Procurement Agency Inspection Division 84 Sands Street Brooklyn, N. Y.	1	Director Office of Naval Research Branch Office 1030 E. Green St. Pasadena 1, California	1
Chief, Inspection Division Office of Standardization Defense Supply Management Agency The Pentagon Washington 25, D. C.	2	Chairman Research & Development Board The Pentagon Washington 25, D. C.	2
Commanding Officer Central Air Procurement District West Warren Ave. and Lonyo Blvd. Detroit 32, Michigan Attn: Director, Quality Control	1	Assistant Chief of Staff, G-4 for Research & Development U. S. Army Washington 25, D. C.	1
		Chief of Naval Operation Operations Evaluation Group-OP342E The Pentagon Washington 25, D. C.	1

Commanding General Air Proving Ground Eglin Air Force Base Eglin, Florida	1	Frankford Arsenal Artillery Ammunition Dept. Inspection Engineering Division Philadelphia 37, Pa.	1
Commander U. S. Naval Proving Ground Dahlgren, Virginia	1	U. S. Naval Ordnance Plant Department of the Navy Indianapolis 18, Indiana	1
Office of Naval Research Logistics Branch Code 436 T-3 Building Washington, D. C.	1	Dr. Paul R. Rider Chief, Mathematical Statistics Group Flight Research Laboratory Wright Air Development Center Wright-Patterson Air Force Base Dayton, Ohio	1
Logistics Research Project George Washington University 707 22nd Street, N.W. Washington 7, D. C.	1	Mr. T. M. Vining Chief, Test Projects Branch Products Division Chemical Corps Engineering Agency Army Chemical Center Maryland	1
Asst. for Operations Analysis Headquarters, U. S. Air Force Washington 25, D. C.	1	Commanding General Ordnance Ammunition Center Joliet, Illinois Attn: ORDLY-I-V	3
Ames Aeronautical Laboratory Moffett Field, California Attn: Technical Librarian	1	Chief, Procurement Maintenance Engineering Division Fort Monmouth, New Jersey Attn: Procurement Data Branch SIGEL-PMP-1	1
N.A.C.A. 1724 F Street, N.W. Washington 25, D. C. Attn: Chief, Office of Aeronautical Intelligence	1	Northeastern Air Procurement District Attn: NEQC 14 Court Square Boston 8, Massachusetts	1
Los Angeles Engineering Field Office Air Research and Development Command 5504 Hollywood Blvd. Los Angeles 28, California Attn: Mr. Chester Pierce	1	Central Air Procurement District Attn: CEQC W. Warren Ave. & Lonyo Blvd. Detroit 32, Michigan	1
U. S. Air Force Engineering Liaison Office Ames Aeronautical Laboratory Moffett Field, California Attn: Mr. Carl Tusch	1	Western Air Procurement District Attn: WEQC 155 W. Washington Blvd. P. O. Box 3849, Terminal Annex Los Angeles 54, California	1
Frankford Arsenal VT Fuze Department Inspection Division Philadelphia 37, Pa. Attn: ORDBA-VK	2	Midcentral Air Procurement District Attn: MIQC 165 North Canal Street Chicago 6, Illinois	1

Southern Air Procurement District Attn: SOQC 3309 Winthrop P. O. Box 9038 Fort Worth 7, Texas	1	Captain Burdette T. Person Chief, Quality Control Branch Central Air Procurement District 1279 W. Third St. Cleveland 13, Ohio	2
Eastern Air Procurement District Attn: EAQC 655 Madison Avenue New York 21, N. Y.	1	Air Force Plant Representative Office Central Air Procurement District General Electric Company Lockland 15, Ohio	1
U. S. Naval Ordnance Test Station 125 South Grand Ave. Mail Station 54 Pasadena, California	1	RAND Corporation 1500 Fourth St. Santa Monica, California	1
Commanding General Marine Corps Depot of Supplies 1100 South Broad Street Philadelphia 46, Pa.	1	Statistical Laboratory Department of Mathematics University of California Berkeley 4, California	1
Naval Inspector of Ordnance 50 W. Main Street Rochester 4, N. Y. Attn: Mr. Wollman	1	Kimberly Corporation 8476 Warner Drive Culver City, California Attn: Miss Lucille M. Leis Office Manager	1
Chief, Thermionics Branch Evans Signal Laboratory Belmar, New Jersey Attn: Mr. Ross Kilgore	1	Dr. Adam Abruzzi Dept. of Economics of Engineering Stevens Inst. of Technology Hoboken, New Jersey	1
Test and Evaluation Laboratory U. S. N. Underwater Ordnance Station Newport, Rhode Island	1	Prof. Stephen G. Allen School of Business Administration University of Minnesota Minneapolis, Minn.	1
Q. E. Laboratory U. S. Naval Ammunition Depot Crane, Indiana	1	Prof. Robert Bachhafer Dept. of Industrial Engineering Columbia University New York 3, N. Y.	1
Commanding Officer Rock Island Arsenal Rock Island, Illinois Attn: Engineering Officer	1	Prof. Maurice H. Belz University of Melbourne Carlton N. 3 Victoria, Australia	1
Scranton Ordnance Plant 156 Cedar Avenue Scranton, Pa. Attn: Mr. Carl D. Larson Chief Inspector	1	Prof. J. N. Berrettoni Western Reserve University Cleveland, Ohio	1
Office of Technical Services Department of Commerce Washington 25, D. C.	1	Mr. P. M. Blunk Quality Evaluation Laboratory U. S. Naval Magazine Port Chicago, California	1

Mr. Milton N. Bradley 632 Van Cortlandt Park Ave. Yonkers 5, N. Y.	1	Mr. Bernard P. Goldsmith Quality Control Engineer Raytheon Mfg. Co. 55 Chapel St. Newton 58, Mass.	1
Prof. Russell Bradt Dept. of Mathematics University of Kansas Lawrence, Kansas	1	Prof. Eugene L. Grant Civil Engineering Dept. Stanford University Stanford, California	1
Prof. Irving W. Burr Dept. of Mathematics Purdue University Lafayette, Indiana	1	Mr. Brent C. Jacob, Jr. Supervisor of Quality Inspection Chrysler Corp. Detroit 31, Michigan	1
Prof. Edward P. Coleman Engineering Dept. University of California Los Angeles 24, California	1	Mr. Calvin J. Kirchen Statistical Quality Control Analyst AF Plant Representative Central Air Procurement Division Kaiser Mfg. Corp. Willow Run, Michigan	1
Dr. Louis Court Division 17 National Bureau of Standards Washington 25, D. C.	1	Prof. Sebastian Littauer Dept. of Industrial Engineering Columbia University New York, N. Y.	1
Mrs. J. Henley Crosland Director of Libraries Georgia Inst. of Technology Atlanta, Ga.	1	Dr. William G. Madow Dept. of Mathematics University of Illinois Urbana, Illinois	1
Mr. H. F. Dodge Bell Telephone Labs, Inc. 463 West Street New York, N. Y.	1	Dean Paul E. Mohn School of Engineering The University of Buffalo Buffalo, N. Y.	1
Prof. Acheson J. Duncan School of Business The Johns Hopkins University Baltimore 18, Md.	1	Mr. L. E. Morris Q. E. Laboratory U. S. M. A. B. Bangor, Washington	1
Dr. Benjamin Epstein Dept. of Mathematics Wayne University Detroit, Michigan	1	Prof. E. G. Olds Dept. of Mathematics San Jose State College San Jose 14, California	1
Dr. George E. Forsythe National Bureau of Standards Inst. for Numerical Analysis University of California 405 Hilgard Ave. Los Angeles 24, California	1	Mr. John J. Riordan Chief, Quality Analysis Office Wright-Patterson Air Force Base Dayton, Ohio	1
Mr. S. Gaspar U. S. N. O. T. S. Pasadena Annex 125 S. Grand Ave. Pasadena, California	1	Mr. Harry G. Romig Quality Manager Hughes Aircraft Co. Culver City, California	1

Prof. Norman Rudy Statistics Dept. Sacramento State College Sacramento, California	1
Prof. Henry Scheffe Statistical Laboratory University of California Berkeley, California	1
Mr. R. H. Shaw U. S. Naval Ordnance Plant Indianapolis, Indiana	1
Mr. Walter Shewhart Bell Telephone Labs., Inc. Murray Hill, New Jersey	1
Prof. Herbert Solomon Teachers College Columbia University New York 27, N. Y.	1
Mr. M. D. Springer U. S. Naval Ordnance Plant Indianapolis, Indiana	1
Mr. Arthur Stein Ordnance Ammunition Center Joliet, Illinois	1
Miss Elizabeth Vaughan 2325 7th St. Bremmerton, Washington	1
Prof. W. Allen Wallis Committee on Statistics University of Chicago Chicago 37, Illinois	1
Mr. Joseph Weinstein Evans Signal Laboratory Belmar, New Jersey	1
Prof. M. A. Woodbury Statistics Dept. Wharton School University of Pennsylvania Philadelphia 4, Pa.	1
Additional copies for project leader and assistants, office file, and reserve for future requirements	70

Armed Services Technical Information Agency

Because of our limited supply, you are requested to return this copy WHEN IT HAS SERVED YOUR PURPOSE so that it may be made available to other requesters. Your cooperation will be appreciated.

AD

40426

NOTICE: WHEN GOVERNMENT OR OTHER DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS OR OTHER DATA ARE USED FOR ANY PURPOSE OTHER THAN IN CONNECTION WITH A DEFINITELY RELATED GOVERNMENT PROCUREMENT OPERATION, THE U. S. GOVERNMENT THEREBY INCURS NO RESPONSIBILITY, NOR ANY OBLIGATION WHATSOEVER, AND THE FACT THAT THE GOVERNMENT MAY HAVE FORMULATED, FURNISHED, OR IN ANY WAY SUPPLIED THE SAID DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS, OR OTHER DATA IS NOT TO BE REGARDED BY IMPLICATION OR OTHERWISE AS IN ANY MANNER LICENSING THE HOLDER OR ANY OTHER PERSON OR CORPORATION, OR CONVEYING ANY RIGHTS OR PERMISSION TO MANUFACTURE OR USE OR SELL ANY PATENTED INVENTION THAT MAY IN ANY WAY BE RELATED THERETO.

Reproduced by
DOCUMENT SERVICE CENTER
WNOTT BUILDING, DAYTON, 2, OHIO

UNCLASSIFIED